Arthur Liou

Justification for Group 4

Introduction: Per the instructor’s note and implied rating system, my default rating is 3 / Average for what was expected of the team member. Please note that this is the point where I consider everyone has done a satisfactory job in that category, no improvement is needed for an expected final project, anything higher than 3 meaning going over the standard ie 110%, and at a point where I believe everyone in the group should receive full marks in this regard.

1. Participation in all group activities
   1. Justification: Everyone participated in each group activity and have no complaints. Only improvement would be proactiveness. Thus, 3’s all around
2. Attitude
   1. Justification: Everyone was very open-minded, respected each other ideas and didn’t complain. No improvement here. Given the instructor’s note, I’m putting 3s all around.
3. Contribution:
   1. Justification: Everyone contributed to the final version of the project and did what was required of them. For myself and Becky, I put 4 since, as the leader, I setup all the discussion forums, Google Docs, document / ACM formatting, group assignment submission while both Becky and I would both help drive the discussion and conversation between all parties.
4. Readiness to contribute: Well-prepared
   1. Justification: Everyone did their work, was prepared to talk on the discussion. Nothing extraordinary here, but not much for improvement here, so 3 all around.
5. Ability to deal with difficulties: Apathy, deviant members, hidden agendas, etc.
   1. Justification: We had a great group and, beyond cutting it close with completing our projects over the weekend, did not encounter many difficulties. Nothing extraordinary but also no room for improvement. So 3’s all around
6. Overall Effectiveness
   1. Justification: See introduction, my previous justifications, and in particular the Contribution justification. I find that Becky and I have a 4 because of our contributions.